1 2	John M. Farrell (CA Bar No. #99649) farrell@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
3	500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070	
4	Facsimile: (650) 839-5071	
5	Jonathan E. Singer (CA Bar No. #187908) singer@fr.com	
6	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3200 RBC Plaza	
7	60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	
8	Telephone: (612) 335-5070 Facsimile: (612) 288-9696	
9	Juanita R. Brooks (CA Bar No. #75934)	
10	brooks@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
11	12390 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130	
12	Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (858) 678-5099	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.	
15	Additional counsel listed on signature page	
16 17	UNITED STATES DI NORTHERN DISTRIC' (SAN JOSE D	T OF CALIFORNIA
18	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.,	Case No. 5:13-cv-04057-BLF/PSG
19	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.'S RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT AS A
20	V.	MATTER OF LAW UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 50(b), OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FO
21	MERCK & CO, INC. (Defendant only), MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. and ISIS	A NEW TRIAL UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 59, ON GILEAD'S DERIVATION AND
22	PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	PRIOR INVENTION DEFENSES
23	Defendants and Counterclaimants.	Date: TBD Time: TBD
24		Place: Courtroom 3 - 5th Floor Judge: Honorable Beth Labason Freeman
25		
26		
27		
28		

## 

# 

### 

## 

### 

### 

## 

# 

### 

#### 

### 

## 

## 

### 

# 

### 

#### 

## 

# 

#### 

### 

#### NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

#### TO THE COURT AND ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the date subsequently set by the Court for hearing on the parties' post-trial motions, Plaintiff Gilead Sciences, Inc. ("Gilead"), will, and hereby does, renew its motion, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(b), for judgment as a matter of law on its defenses of derivation and prior invention. In the alternative, Gilead moves for a new trial on these defenses under Rule 59.

#### STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED

Gilead requests judgment that U.S. Patents Nos. 7,105,499 and 8,481,712 are invalid due to derivation under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f). Gilead further requests judgment that U.S. Patents Nos. 7,105,499 and 8,481,712 are invalid due to prior invention under 35 U.S.C. § 102(g). In the alternative, Gilead seeks a new trial on whether U.S. Patents Nos. 7,105,499 and 8,481,712 are invalid due to derivation and prior invention.

As stipulated by the parties and ordered by the Court, (ECF No. 404), Gilead will file supporting briefing with its points and authorities on this motion in conjunction with and on the same schedule as the parties' other post-trial motions, after the entry of judgment.

Dated: April 21, 2016

#### FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

By: /s/ Joseph B. Warden
Joseph B. Warden

Attorneys for Plaintiff GILEAD SCIENCES, INC.

### Case 5:13-cv-04057-BLF Document 406 Filed 04/21/16 Page 3 of 3

1	Additional counsel:
2	Douglas E. McCann ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) dmccann@fr.com
3	Gregory R. Booker ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) booker@fr.com
4	Robert M. Oakes (Pro Hac Vice)
5	oakes@fr.com Elizabeth Flanagan ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
6	eflanagan@fr.com Joseph B. Warden ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
7	warden@fr.com
8	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 222 Delaware Avenue, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
9	Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 652-5070
10	Facsimile: (302) 652-0607
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	

27

28

2